UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON
CENTER FOR THE MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

On two polynomial spaces associated with a box spline

Carl de Boor[†,1], Nira Dyn[‡] & Amos Ron[†]

[†] Center for the Mathematical Sciences
University of Wisconsin-Madison
Madison, WI U.S.A

[‡] School of Mathematical Sciences
Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences
Tel-Aviv University
Tel-Aviv, Israel

April 1989

ABSTRACT

The polynomial space $\mathcal{H}$ in the span of the integer translates of a box spline M admits a well-known characterization as the joint kernel of a set of homogeneous differential operators with constant coefficients. The dual space $\mathcal{H}^*$ has a convenient representation by a polynomial space $\mathcal{P}$, explicitly known, which plays an important role in box spline theory as well as in multivariate polynomial interpolation.

In this paper we characterize the dual space $\mathcal{P}$ as the joint kernel of simple differential operators, each one a power of a directional derivative. Various applications of this result to multivariate polynomial interpolation, multivariate splines and duality between polynomial and exponential spaces are discussed.

---

1. Introduction

The space $H(\phi)$ of all exponentials in the linear span $S(\phi)$ of the integer translates of a compactly supported distribution ϕ is of basic importance in multivariate spline theory since, in principle, it allows the construction of good approximation maps to $S(\phi)$ from spaces containing $S(\phi)$. Generically, $H(\phi)$ is D-invariant (i.e., closed under differentiation), hence is the joint kernel for a set $\{p(D) : p \in I_{H(\phi)}\}$ of differential operators with constant coefficients, with $I_{H(\phi)}$ a polynomial ideal of finite codimension (in the space Π of all multivariate polynomials, i.e., an ideal of transcendental dimension 0, hence with finite variety). An understanding of the interplay between the space $H(\phi)$ and its associated ideal $I_{H(\phi)}$ is useful in the determination of the basic properties of $H(\phi)$ such as its spectrum, its dimension, and its local approximation order.

For the important special case when ϕ is a polynomial box spline (and $\mathcal{H} := H(\phi)$ is thus a polynomial space), an explicit set of generators for the ideal $I_\mathcal{H}$ is known [BH$_1$], but nevertheless, the construction of their joint kernel was found to be very difficult. At the same time, a polynomial space $\mathcal{P}$ (of very simple structure) is known which serves as a natural dual for $\mathcal{H}$ and is of substantial use in the analysis of $\mathcal{H}$. Specifically, the duality between $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{P}$ has been used in [DR$_1$] in the investigation of the local approximation order of some exponential spaces, in [DR$_{1,2}$] in the solution of an interpolation problem induced by $\mathcal{H}$, in [J] in the construction of linear projectors onto a box spline space, and in [DR$_1$] in the computation of the homogeneous degrees of $\mathcal{H}$ (which is equivalent to computing the Hilbert function of $I_\mathcal{H}$). See also [DM$_3$; §3].

It is the purpose of this paper to establish the surprising result that $\mathcal{P}$, too, is the joint kernel of a rather simple set of constant coefficient differential operators, each being just a power of a directional derivative. This allows us to characterize $\mathcal{P}$ in terms of the degrees of its polynomials when restricted to certain linear manifolds. Various applications of this result to multivariate polynomial interpolation, box spline theory, and duality between polynomial and exponential spaces are discussed as well.

In section 2, after defining the space $\mathcal{P}$ and its associated differential operators, we prove that $\mathcal{P}$ is indeed the joint kernel of these operators. In section 3, we identify $\mathcal{P}$ as a space of least degree among all polynomial spaces that interpolate correctly on certain subsets of the integer lattice. As a matter of fact, the discussion in that section may have an independent value: this discussion illustrates how the interpolating space of least degree from [BR$_1$] may be computed using the technique from [BR$_2$] of "perturbing the generators of a homogeneous ideal", hence in a computationally painless way.

Section 4 is devoted to the more general discussion of duality between finite dimensional polynomial and exponential spaces, a discussion which improves proofs and results from [DM$_2$] and [DR$_1$]. Finally, we discuss in section 5 the construction of piecewise polynomials on the support of a box spline and improve thereby an observation in [R].

2. The Main Result

Let X be a multiset of vectors in $\mathbb{R}^s\backslash 0$. We will at times think of X, equivalently, as a real matrix, of size $(s \times \#X)$. Let $\mathbb{H}(X)$ denote the collection of all hyperplanes (i.e., linear subspaces of codimension 1) which are spanned by some columns of X. We associate with each $h \in \mathbb{H}(X)$ a nontrivial linear polynomial which vanishes on h, and write this polynomial

$$\langle h^\perp, \cdot \rangle,$$

thus using $h^\perp$ to stand for any particular nonzero vector normal to h. We are interested in the ideal

$$I^X$$

generated by all polynomials of the form

$$(2.1) \qquad\qquad p_h := p_{h,X} := \langle h^\perp, \cdot \rangle^{\#(X \backslash h)}, \qquad h \in \mathbb{H}(X),$$

where $X \backslash h = \{x \in X \mid x \notin h\}$.

We assume that X spans all of $\mathbb{R}^s$. Then the only point common to all $h \in \mathbb{H}(X)$ is 0, and consequently, the variety of I^X (i.e., the set of common zeros of all the polynomials in I^X) consists of 0 alone:

$$\mathcal{V}_{I^X} = \{0\}.$$

This implies that the codimension of I^X in the space Π of all polynomials in s variables (i.e., the dimension of the quotient space Π/I^X) is finite, and that its kernel $I^X \perp$ is a finite-dimensional polynomial space, whose dimension equals the codimension of I^X. Moreover, $I^X \perp$ is **stratified**, i.e., spanned by its homogeneous elements, since I^X is generated by homogeneous polynomials. [BR$_2$] is a ready reference for these known facts.

Here, to recall the definition, the **kernel** $I \perp$ of an ideal I is the set

$$(2.2) \qquad\qquad \{f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^s) : \ p(D)f = 0, \ \forall p \in I\}$$

of all distributions annihilated by the set of differential operators induced by I. In particular, since I^X is generated by

$$p_h = \langle h^\perp, \cdot \rangle^{\#(X \backslash h)}, \quad h \in \mathbb{H}(X),$$

$I^X \perp$ consists of the solutions f of the system of linear differential equations

$$(2.3) \qquad\qquad (D_{h^\perp})^{\#(X \backslash h)} f = 0, \ \forall h \in \mathbb{H}(X).$$

This section is devoted to a proof of the fact that $I^X \perp$ equals the polynomial space

$$(2.4) \qquad\qquad \mathcal{P}(X) := \mathrm{span}\{p_V : \ V \subset X, \ \mathrm{span}(X \backslash V) = \mathbb{R}^s\},$$

with

$$p_V := \prod_{v \in V} \langle v, \cdot \rangle.$$

In the proof, the multiset

$$(2.5) \qquad\qquad \mathbb{B}(X) := \{B \subset X : \ B \text{ invertible}\}$$

of all bases contained in X plays an important role. We use the abbreviation

$$(2.6) \qquad\qquad b(X) := \#\mathbb{B}(X).$$

(2.7) Theorem. *The kernel $I^X \perp$ of I^X coincides with $\mathcal{P}(X)$, and*

$$(2.8) \qquad\qquad \dim I^X \perp = b(X).$$

The theorem follows from the following three lemmata.

(2.9) Lemma.
$$b(X) \leq \dim \mathcal{P}(X).$$

(2.10) Lemma.
$$\mathcal{P}(X) \subset I^X \perp.$$

(2.11) Lemma.
$$\dim I^X \perp \leq b(X).$$

Proof[DR$_1$] of (2.9)Lemma: Every polynomial

$$q_B := \prod_{x \in X \setminus B} (\langle x, \cdot \rangle - \lambda_x),$$

with $B \in \mathbb{B}(X)$ and (λ_x) arbitrary constants, is in $\mathcal{P}(X)$, as follows readily by multiplying out. For each $B \in \mathbb{B}(X)$, there is a unique common zero of the s linear factors $\langle x, \cdot \rangle - \lambda_x$, $x \in B$, which do not occur in the associated q_B; call that point θ_B. Choose now, as we may, the constants (λ_x) in such a way that $\theta_B \neq \theta_{B'}$ whenever $B \neq B'$. (In fact, almost every choice of the λ_x would satisfy this condition.) It then follows that

$$q_B(\theta_{B'}) = 0 \iff B \neq B',$$

proving the linear independence of the collection $(q_B)_{B \in \mathbb{B}(X)}$ in $\mathcal{P}(X)$. $\qquad\square$

Proof of (2.10)Lemma: We have to prove that, for each $h \in \mathbb{H}(X)$, $p_h(D) = (D_{h^\perp})^{\#(X \setminus h)}$ annihilates $\mathcal{P}(X)$, i.e., that $p_h(D)p_V = 0$ for every $V \subset X$ for which $X \setminus V$ contains a basis. For this, we note that $D_{h^\perp} p_{V \cap h} = 0$, hence

$$p_h(D)p_V = (p_{V \cap h})p_h(D)p_{V \setminus h}.$$

On the other hand, since $X \setminus V$ contains a basis, $V \setminus h$ cannot coincide with $X \setminus h$, hence $\deg p_{V \setminus h} < \#X \setminus h = \deg p_h$ and therefore $p_h(D)p_{V \setminus h} = 0$. $\qquad\square$

Proof of (2.11)Lemma: We prove the lemma by induction on $\#X$. For the case $\#X = s$ we observe that I^X is generated by s linearly independent linear homogeneous polynomials, consequently $I^X \perp$ contains only constants, and so $\dim I^X \perp = 1 = b(X)$. Assume now that $\#X > s$. We follow the argument used in the proof of [DM$_3$; Thm.3.1], decompose X as

$$X =: X' \cup x,$$

with $\operatorname{span} X' = \mathbb{R}^s$, and consider the map

$$T : I^X \perp \to \times_{h \in \mathbb{H}(X')} P_h : q \mapsto (p_h(D)q)_{h \in \mathbb{H}(X')},$$

where $p_h := p_{h, X'} = \langle h^\perp, \cdot \rangle^{\#(X' \setminus h)}$ are the generators of $I^{X'}$ and $P_h := p_h(D)(I^X \perp)$. Then

$$\dim I^X \perp \leq \dim I^{X'} \perp + \sum_{h \in \mathbb{H}(X')} \dim P_h,$$

3

since
$$\ker T = (I^{X'}\perp) \cap (I^X\perp) \subseteq I^{X'}\perp.$$

Consequently, by induction,
$$\dim(I^X\perp) \le b(X') + \sum_{h\in\mathbb{H}(X')} \dim P_h.$$

This proves that
$$\dim I^X\perp \le b(X),$$

provided we can prove that
$$\sum_{h\in\mathbb{H}(X')} \dim P_h \le \#\{B\in\mathbb{B}(X):\ x\in B\}.$$

In particular, it is sufficient to prove that, for all $h\in\mathbb{H}(X')$,

(2.12) $$P_h \subset I^{X_h}\perp$$

with
$$X_h := (X\cap h)\cup x.$$

For, (2.12) implies that
$$\dim P_h \le \dim I^{X_h}\perp \le b(X_h),$$

(the last inequality by induction), while
$$\sum_{h\in\mathbb{H}(X')} b(X_h) = \#\{B\in\mathbb{B}(X):\ x\in B\}.$$

The claim (2.12) is trivial in case $x\in h$, since then $X'\backslash h = X\backslash h$, therefore $p_{h,X'} = p_{h,X}$ and so $P_h = \{0\}$ in that case.

We now prove (2.12) for the contrary case, i.e., the case when $x\notin h$. We have to show that for every $k\in\mathbb{H}(X_h)$

(2.13) $$p_{k,X_h}(D)P_h = \{0\},$$

with
$$p_{k,X_h} = \langle k^\perp, \cdot\rangle^{\#(X_h\backslash k)}.$$

If $x\notin k$, then $k = h$ and (since $X_h\backslash h = \{x\}$) p_{k,X_h} is a linear polynomial, and there is nothing to prove since then $p_{k,X_h}p_{h,X'} = p_{h,X}$, while $p_{h,X}$ annihilates $I^X\perp$ by definition of $I^X\perp$. For the contrary case, i.e., the case that $x\in k$, we need to prove that

(2.14) $$D_{k^\perp}^m D_{h^\perp}^{A-m}(I^X\perp) = 0,$$

with
$$m := \#(X_h\backslash k), \quad A - m := \#(X'\backslash h).$$

For this, it is sufficient to show that

(2.15) $$K^m H^{A-m} \in \text{ideal}\{L^{a(\ell)}\}_\ell,$$

with ℓ running over all hyperplanes spanned by elements of X and containing $k \cap h$, and with

$$K := \langle k^\perp, \cdot \rangle, \quad H := \langle h^\perp, \cdot \rangle, \quad L := \langle \ell^\perp, \cdot \rangle,$$

$$a(\ell) := \#(X \backslash \ell).$$

For, (2.14) follows from (2.15) since each generator $L^{a(\ell)}$ of the ideal in (2.15) appears also in the defining set (2.1) of generators of I^X, hence annihilates $I^X \perp$.

We prove (2.15) by writing each polynomial L as linear combination of the linear polynomials K and H, thereby obtaining the general homogeneous element of degree A of the ideal in (2.15) in the form

$$\sum_\ell (\alpha K + \beta H)^{a(\ell)} r_\ell,$$

with r_ℓ a homogeneous polynomial of degree

$$\mu(\ell) := A - a(\ell),$$

all ℓ. We then show that the resulting linear system (for the coefficients of the various r_ℓ) has a solution by showing that its coefficient matrix is the transpose of the matrix which occurs in (univariate polynomial) Hermite interpolation.

Here are the details.

Since each ℓ contains $k \cap h$, $\ell^\perp$ can be written uniquely as a linear combination of $k^\perp$ and $h^\perp$. We find it convenient in the sequel to have the weights in this linear combination sum to 1, i.e., to have $\ell^\perp$ in the affine hull of $k^\perp$ and $h^\perp$. This we can achieve by first choosing the (signed) magnitudes of the nonzero vectors $k^\perp$ and $h^\perp$ so that their difference is not perpendicular to any of the finitely many ℓ. Then, for each ℓ, we choose the nonzero vector $\ell^\perp$ to lie on the line through $k^\perp$ and $h^\perp$, i.e., so that

$$\ell^\perp =: (1 - \beta)k^\perp + \beta h^\perp$$

for some $\beta = \beta_\ell$. Then $L = (1 - \beta)K + \beta H$, hence

$$L^a = \sum_j K^j H^{a-j} B_j^a(\beta),$$

with

$$B_j^r(t) := \binom{r}{j}(1-t)^j t^{r-j}$$

the polynomials appearing in the Bernstein form. Since $DB_j^r = r(B_j^{r-1} - B_{j-1}^{r-1})$, we have more generally

$$((a+i)!/a!)(H-K)^i L^a = \sum_j K^j H^{a+i-j} D^i B_j^{a+i}(\beta).$$

This means that we have available in our ideal an expression of the form

$$\sum_\ell \sum_{i=0}^{\mu(\ell)} (H-K)^i L^{A-i} c(\ell, i) = \sum_j K^j H^{A-j} \sum_\ell \sum_{i=0}^{\mu(\ell)} D^i B_j^A(\beta) c(\ell, i)$$

to match the monomial $K^m H^{A-m}$. Such a match is possible provided the linear system of conditions imposed thereby on the coefficients $c(\ell, i)$ is solvable.

5

We begin the proof that this linear system is indeed solvable by showing that its coefficient matrix is square. With the abbreviation $k' := h \cap k$, we compute

$$m = \#(X_h \backslash k) = \#((X \cap h) \backslash k') = \#((X' \cap h) \backslash k'),$$

therefore

(2.16) $$A = \#((X' \cap h) \backslash k') + \#(X' \backslash h) = \#(X' \backslash k').$$

Also,

$$\mu(\ell) = A - a(\ell) = \#(X' \backslash k') - \#(X \backslash \ell) = -1 + \#(X \backslash k') - \#(X \backslash \ell) = \#((X \cap \ell) \backslash k') - 1.$$

Therefore, the number of unknowns is

$$\sum_\ell (\mu(\ell) + 1) = \sum_\ell \#((X \cap \ell) \backslash k') = \#(X \backslash k') = A + 1,$$

i.e., equal to the number of equations. Here, the sums are over all $\ell \in \mathbb{H}(X)$ which contain k', which implies that $X \backslash k'$ is the disjoint union of the sets $(X \cap \ell) \backslash k'$ and so justifies the second equality.

Now organize the unknowns by ℓ and, within ℓ, by $i = 0, \ldots, \mu(\ell)$, and order the equations by $j = 0, \ldots, A$. Then the matrix consists, more precisely, of one block of columns for each ℓ, with the ith column (in the block for ℓ) containing the value at $\beta = \beta_\ell$ of the ith derivative of all the polynomials B_j^A, $j = 0, \ldots, A$, $i = 0, \ldots, \mu(\ell)$. Hence our matrix is the transpose of the matrix which occurs in the linear system for the determination of the Bernstein form of the polynomial in Π_A which agrees with some function $(\mu(\ell) + 1)$-fold at $\beta = \beta_\ell$, all ℓ. Since such univariate Hermite interpolation is correct (since $\beta_\ell \neq \beta_{\ell'}$ for $\ell \neq \ell'$), the invertibility of our matrix follows. $\square$

We note that (2.7)Theorem now allows us to conclude that all inequalities appearing in its proof must be equalities. This implies, e.g., that

$$I^{X'} \subset I^X \text{ whenever } X' \subset X,$$

and that $p_{h,X'}(D)(I^X \bot) = I^{h \cup x} \bot$. Another immediate consequence is the following

(2.17) Corollary[DM$_3$],[DR$_1$].
$$\dim \mathcal{P}(X) = b(X).$$

Furthermore,

(2.18) $$d(X) := \min\{\#(X \backslash h) : \ h \in \mathbb{H}(X)\}$$

is the least degree of the generators of I^X, hence, since $I^X \bot = \mathcal{P}(X)$, we have the following.

(2.19) Corollary[DR$_1$]. *With $d(X)$ as in (2.18),*

$$\Pi_{<d(X)} \subset \mathcal{P}(X),$$

but

$$\Pi_{d(X)} \not\subset \mathcal{P}(X).$$

By its definition, $I^X \bot$ is the set of all polynomials $p \in \Pi$ that satisfy the following condition:

(2.20) Condition. *For every* $h \in \mathbb{H}(X)$ *and* $v \in \mathbb{R}^s$, $p_{|v+h\perp} \subset \Pi_{\#(X\setminus h)-1}$ *(with* $h\perp$ *the subspace orthogonal to* h*).*

On the other hand, every $p \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ satisfies an apparently stronger condition (cf. [DR$_2$;Prop.1]):

(2.21) Condition. *For every subspace* M *of* $\mathbb{R}^s$ *which is spanned by elements from* X, *and for every* $v \in \mathbb{R}^s$,

$$p_{|v+M\perp} \in \Pi_{\#(X\setminus M)-\dim M\perp}.$$

Hence we conclude the following from (2.7)Theorem:

(2.22) Corollary. *The space* $\mathcal{P}(X)$ *is characterized either by (2.20)Condition or by (2.21)Condition. In particular, these two conditions are equivalent on* Π.

(2.22) Corollary verifies the claim made in [DR$_2$; Remark 2] that (2.21)Condition characterizes $\mathcal{P}(X)$. In case X consists of N repetitions of $s + 1$ vectors $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^s$ in general position, the characterization of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ by (2.21)Condition has been proved in [G] by other methods.

3. An associated polynomial interpolation problem

Here we identify certain exponential spaces H whose corresponding "limit at the origin" $H_\downarrow$ coincides with $\mathcal{P}(X)$ (for an appropriate choice of X), and use this identification in the solution of an associated interpolation problem. The map

$$(3.1) \qquad\qquad\qquad H \mapsto H_\downarrow,$$

which associates with every finite-dimensional space of entire functions a homogeneous space of polynomials of the same dimension, has been introduced and studied in [BR$_1$] in the context of a multivariate polynomial interpolation problem, and has been discussed as well in [BR$_2$] in the context of kernels of polynomial ideals. To begin with, we recall the definition of $H_\downarrow$ and review some of the results of [BR$_{1,2}$] needed here. Then we discuss a certain interpolation problem and its relation to $\mathcal{P}(X)$.

Given a function $f \neq 0$ analytic at the origin, we write its power series expansion at the origin in the form

$$f = f_0 + f_1 + f_2 + ...,$$

where, for each j, f_j is a homogeneous polynomial of degree j, and define $f_\downarrow := f_k$ with $k = \min\{j : f_j \neq 0\}$; i.e., $f_\downarrow$ is the first non-trivial homogenenous polynomial in the power expansion of f. Using this notion of the **least term** $f_\downarrow$ of f, we then define

$$(3.2) \qquad\qquad\qquad H_\downarrow := \mathrm{span}\{f_\downarrow : f \in H\},$$

and have [BR$_1$]

$$(3.3) \qquad\qquad\qquad \dim H_\downarrow = \dim H.$$

We say that a pointset $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^s$ is **correct** for the polynomial space P if the restriction map $P \to \mathbb{C}^\Theta : p \mapsto p_{|\Theta}$ is invertible. Equivalently, Θ is correct for P if, for every data $(d_\theta)_{\theta \in \Theta}$, there is exactly one $p \in P$ for which $p(\theta) = d_\theta$ for all $\theta \in \Theta$. In other words, interpolation from P at the points of Θ is correct.

7

Result [BR₁]. Θ *is correct for* $(\exp_\Theta)_\downarrow$. *Moreover, among all polynomial spaces P for which Θ is correct,* $(\exp_\Theta)_\downarrow$ *is "of least degree" in the sense that*

$$(3.4) \qquad \dim(P \cap \Pi_j) \le \dim((\exp_\Theta)_\downarrow \cap \Pi_j), \quad \forall j.$$

Here,

$$(3.5) \qquad \exp_\Theta := \mathrm{span}\{e_\theta\}_{\theta \in \Theta},$$

where $e_\theta : x \mapsto e^{\langle \theta, x \rangle}$ is the exponential function (with **frequency** θ).

In view of this result, it is useful to be able to identify the "least" space $(\exp_\Theta)_\downarrow$ for given Θ. This we now do for certain pointsets $\Theta = \nu_X$ associated with the box splines M_X. Our tool is the following result

(3.6) Result[BR₂].

$$H_\downarrow = (I_{H\uparrow})\bot.$$

which obtains $H_\downarrow$ as the kernel of the ideal generated by the leading terms of the annihilator of H. Precisely, [BR₂;(4.3)Theorem (b)] provides the statement that, for any polynomial ideal I of finite codimension,

$$(I\bot)_\downarrow = (I_\uparrow)\bot,$$

and (3.6)Result is obtained by applying this to

$$(3.7) \qquad I = I_H := \{p \in \Pi : \ p(D)H = 0\},$$

which is an ideal since H is closed under differentiation. In fact, with $H = \exp_\Theta$, I_H consists of all polynomials which vanish at Θ, hence I_H has finite codimension and

$$H = I_H\bot,$$

(cf. [BR₂; §3]). From I_H, we obtain its homogeneous counterpart $I_{H\uparrow}$ as

$$(3.8) \qquad I_{H\uparrow} := \mathrm{span}\{p_\uparrow : \ p \in I_H\},$$

where $p_\uparrow$ is the **leading term** of the polynomial p, namely the homogeneous polynomial satisfying

$$\deg(p - p_\uparrow) < \deg p.$$

The result (3.6) is of interest here since it is easy to identify elements of I_H in case $H = \exp_\Theta$: If (p_j) are linear homogeneous polynomials for which the union of the corresponding hyperplanes $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^s : p_j(x) = c_j\}$ (for suitable choices of the constants c_j) contains Θ, then $p := \prod_j (p_j - c_j) \in I_H$, hence $\prod_j p_j \in (I_H)_\uparrow$. If we obtain enough of these p to generate all of $I_{H\uparrow}$, then we know by (3.6)Result that $H_\downarrow$ is the joint kernel of all the corresponding differential operators $p(D)$. In fact, since we know from (3.3) that $\dim H_\downarrow = \dim H$, we can already reach this conclusion when we only know that the p so constructed generate an ideal J of codimension $\le \dim H$.

(3.9)Result [BR$_2$]. *If the ideal J generated from the leading terms of some polynomials in I_H has codimension $\leq \dim H$, then $J = I_{H\uparrow}$, therefore*

$$H_\downarrow = J\perp.$$

In our case, we have identified (in (2.7)Theorem) $\mathcal{P}(X)$ as the joint kernel of the differential operators $(D_h)^{\#(X\backslash h)}$ (with h running over $\mathbb{H}(X)$), hence are entitled to conclude that $(\exp_\Theta)_\downarrow = \mathcal{P}(X)$ whenever we can find, for each such h, constants $c_{j,h}$ so that $\prod_{j=1}^{\#(X\backslash h)}(\langle h^\perp, \cdot\rangle - c_{j,h})$ vanishes on Θ, and know additionally that $\#\Theta \geq \dim \mathcal{P}(X)$.

Just such a pointset is, under certain assumptions on X, provided by

(3.10) $$\nu_X := \nu_X(z) := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^s : z - \alpha = \sum_{x \in X} t_x x, \ 0 < t_x < 1, \ \forall x\},$$

with $z \in \mathbb{R}^s\backslash\bigcup_{h\in\mathbb{H}(X)}(h + \mathbb{Z}^s)$. The set $-\nu_X$ comprises the integer points in the support of the shifted box spline $M_X(\cdot + z)$ (cf. [DM$_2$]).

Assume now that X is **unimodular**, i.e., the columns of X are from $\mathbb{Z}^s\backslash 0$ and every $B \in \mathbb{B}(X)$ has determinant ± 1. For such unimodular X, the observations made in [R;§4] (especially before the proof of Th. 4.1 and in the proof of Cor. 4.2) confirm the existence, for each $h \in \mathbb{H}(X)$, of consecutive integers $c_{h,j}$ so that ν_X is contained in the union of the hyperplanes

$$\langle h^\perp, \cdot\rangle = c_{h,j}, \qquad j = 1, \ldots, \#X\backslash h.$$

Indeed, fixing $h \in \mathbb{H}(X)$ and taking the normal $h^\perp$ to be a relatively prime integer vector, implies that $|\langle h^\perp, x\rangle| = 1$ for every $x \in X\backslash h$ since X is unimodular. By choosing the signs of the columns of X appropriately (which amounts to a shift in M_X), we can achieve that $\langle h^\perp, x\rangle > 0$ for all $x \in X\backslash h$, hence

$$c_h := \sum_{x \in X\backslash h} \langle h^\perp, x\rangle = \#X\backslash h.$$

Consequently, with z chosen so as to satisfy $c_h - 1 < \langle h^\perp, z\rangle < c_h$, $\nu_X(z)$ must lie in the union of the hyperplanes

$$\langle h^\perp, \cdot\rangle = j, \ j = 0, ..., c_h - 1.$$

Moreover, $\#\nu_X = \dim \mathcal{P}(X)$, because of (2.17)Corollary and the following.

(3.11) Result [DM$_2$]. *If X is unimodular, then*

$$\#\nu_X = b(X).$$

This establishes the following theorem.

(3.12)Theorem. *If X is unimodular, then $(\exp_{\nu_X})_\downarrow = \mathcal{P}(X)$. In particular, ν_X is correct for $\mathcal{P}(X)$, and $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is of least degree among all polynomial spaces for which ν_X is correct.*

Note that only the inequality $\#\nu_X \geq b(X)$ was needed in the proof of (3.12)Theorem. As a matter of fact, the converse inequality is a consequence of the theorem.

4. The duality between $\mathcal{H}(X)$ and $\mathcal{P}(X)$

The ideal I^X and its kernel $\mathcal{P}(X)$ are intimately related to another ideal I_X and its kernel $\mathcal{H}(X)$, which play a fundamental role in the theory of box splines. In the following, we review some of the basics about I_X and $\mathcal{H}(X)$ and draw several connections between the two settings.

Let I_X be the ideal generated by all polynomials of the form $p_V = \prod_{v \in V} \langle v, \cdot \rangle$ with $V \subset X$ and $\mathrm{span}(X \backslash V) \neq \mathbb{R}^s$. This means that

$$(4.1) \qquad\qquad I_X := \mathrm{ideal}\{ p_{X \backslash h} : h \in \mathbb{H}(X) \}.$$

Let $\mathcal{H}(X)$ denote the kernel of I_X, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{H}(X) := I_X \bot.$$

It is known [BH$_1$], [DM$_1$] that $\mathcal{H}(X)$ is a finite-dimensional polynomial space and [DM$_2$] that

$$(4.2) \qquad\qquad \dim \mathcal{H}(X) = b(X).$$

The spaces $\mathcal{P}(X)$ and $\mathcal{H}(X)$ are dual to each other in the following sense. Each $p \in \Pi$ gives rise to a linear functional p^* on Π (and even on a larger space of smooth functions), viz. the linear functional

$$p^* : q \mapsto p(D)q(0).$$

This allows us to consider, for any two finite-dimensional linear polynomial spaces Q and R, the map

$$M : Q \to R^* : p \mapsto p^*_{|R}.$$

If M is invertible, we say that Q **is dual to** R (in the sense that we can then use the elements of Q in this fashion to represent uniquely the dual of R). Note that the dual to M carries $R^{**} = R$ in the same way to Q^*, hence Q is dual to R iff R is dual to Q.

A necessary and sufficient condition for such duality is that M be 1-1 and $\dim R \leq \dim Q$ (since then M is necessarily onto). In particular, if $\dim Q = \dim R$, then such duality is assured as soon as we know that, for every $q \in Q \backslash 0$, there is an $r \in R$ for which $q^*(r) \neq 0$. By the duality already mentioned, this is equivalent to having, for every $r \in R \backslash 0$, a $q \in Q$ for which $q^*(r) \neq 0$.

For $q \in Q$, the linear functional q^* cannot tell the difference between f and $T_k f := f_0 + \ldots + f_{k-1} :=$ the power expansion of f up to order k, if k is sufficiently large. This allows us to extend this notion of duality to pairs Q, R in which R is a finite-dimensional space of smooth functions.

We will eventually make use of the following observation:

(4.3) Theorem. *Let P be an n-dimensional homogeneous polynomial space. Let H be an n-dimensional space of entire functions. If P is dual to $H_\downarrow$, then P is dual to H.*

Proof: For any $f \in H \backslash 0$, $f_\downarrow \in H_\downarrow \backslash 0$, hence, by assumption, $p^*(f_\downarrow) \neq 0$ for some $p \in P$. Further, since P is spanned by homogeneous polynomials, we may assume that this p is homogeneous. But then $p^*(f) = p^*(f_\downarrow) \neq 0$, showing that the linear map $H \to P^* : f \mapsto (p \mapsto p^*(D)f(0))$ is 1-1, hence $P \to H^* : p \mapsto p^*_{|H}$ is onto. Since $\dim H = \dim H_\downarrow$, and $\dim H_\downarrow = \dim P$ by assumption, the theorem follows. $\qquad\square$

We note that the converse of (4.3)Theorem does not hold, in general. For, it is easy to make up a nonhomogeneous polynomial space H together with a homogeneous P dual to it, for which the conditions $\dim(\Pi_j \cap P) = \dim(\Pi_j \cap H_\downarrow)$, all j, fail to hold, while these conditions are necessary for P and $H_\downarrow$ to be dual, according to the following proposition of use later.

(4.4)Proposition. *If the* homogeneous *polynomial spaces Q and R are dual to each other, then*

(4.5)
$$\dim(\Pi_j \cap Q) = \dim(\Pi_j \cap R)$$

for all j.

Proof: Indeed, if (4.5) is violated for some (minimal) j and, say, $\dim(\Pi_j \cap Q) > \dim(\Pi_j \cap R)$, then there exists a *homogeneous* polynomial $q \in Q$ of degree j for which q^* vanishes on all homogeneous polynomials in R of degree j, and hence vanishes on all of R, in contradiction to the duality between Q and R. □

With this, the meaning of the following result is clear.

(4.6) Result[DM₃]*,[DR₁]. *The polynomial spaces $\mathcal{P}(X)$ and $\mathcal{H}(X)$ are dual to each other.*

In (3.12)Theorem, the space $\mathcal{P}(X)$ has been identified as the least space for certain interpolation problems. In [BR₂] the space $\mathcal{H}(X)$ has been identified as the least space for other interpolation problems. We now make use of the duality between $\mathcal{P}(X)$ and $\mathcal{H}(X)$ to connect $\mathcal{H}(X)$ with the interpolation problems associated with $\mathcal{P}(X)$ and vice versa. As a preparation, we procure a class of spaces whose corresponding least space is $\mathcal{H}(X)$ in much the same way in which we obtained suitable exponential spaces $\exp_{\nu_X}$ whose least is $\mathcal{P}(X)$: We perturb the linear factors of the set of generators for the ideal (viz. I_X) whose kernel is $\mathcal{H}(X)$. Specifically, given the set $\Gamma = \big\{(x, \lambda_x) \mid x \in X \ , \ \lambda_x \in \mathbb{C}\big\}$, we consider the ideal

(4.7)
$$I_\Gamma := \text{ideal}\{q_h : h \in \mathbb{H}(X)\},$$

with

$$q_h := \prod_{x \in X \setminus h} (\langle x, \cdot \rangle - \lambda_x) \ .$$

Then $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma) := I_\Gamma \perp$ is an exponential space (i.e., a space which is spanned by certain products of exponentials with polynomials), and [BR₂]

(4.8)
$$\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)_\downarrow = \mathcal{H}(X).$$

The diagram below illustrates for a unimodular X the various connections established so far between the ideals I^X, I_X, their kernels and the associated exponential spaces.

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
(\exp_{\nu_X})_\downarrow & = & \mathcal{P}(X) & = & I^X \perp \\
& & \uparrow & & \\
& & \text{dual} & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \\
\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)_\downarrow & = & \mathcal{H}(X) & = & I_X \perp
\end{array}
$$

---

* The authors in [DM₃] attribute the result to Hakopian.

11

Our first corollary improves [DM₂;Thm. 4.1]:

(4.9) Corollary. *Let X be unimodular. Then ν_X is correct for $\mathcal{H}(X)$, and $\mathcal{H}(X)$ is of least degree among all polynomials spaces for which ν_X is correct.*

Proof: We apply (4.3)Theorem with $H = \exp_{\nu_X}$ and $P = \mathcal{H}(X)$. By (3.12)Theorem $H_{\downarrow} = \mathcal{P}(X)$, while by (4.6)Result, $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is dual to $\mathcal{H}(X)$. Since also $\mathcal{H}(X)$ is homogeneous (as the kernel of a homogeneous ideal), (4.3)Theorem implies that $\mathcal{H}(X)$ is dual to $\exp_{\nu_X}$, which is equivalent (cf. [BR₁;§4]) to the correctness of ν_X for $\mathcal{H}(X)$. Moreover, since $\mathcal{P}(X)$ and $\mathcal{H}(X)$ are both homogeneous and, by (4.6)Result, dual to each other, we must have

$$(4.10) \qquad\qquad \dim(\Pi_j \cap \mathcal{H}(X)) = \dim(\Pi_j \cap \mathcal{P}(X))$$

for every j, by (4.4)Proposition. Since we already know by (3.12)Theorem that $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is of least degree among all polynomial spaces for which ν_X is correct, it follows from (4.10) that $\mathcal{H}(X)$ has the same property. $\qquad\square$

(4.11) Corollary[DR₁]. *The spaces $\mathcal{P}(X)$ and $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ are dual to each other.*

Proof: Take $P = \mathcal{P}(X)$ and $H = \mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ in (4.3)Theorem. Since, by (4.8), $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)_{\downarrow} = \mathcal{H}(X)$, and, by (4.6)Result, $\mathcal{H}(X)$ is dual to $\mathcal{P}(X)$, (4.3)Theorem provides the desired result. $\qquad\square$

We refer to [DR₁; §7] for a discussion of the interpolation conditions induced by $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$.

5. Application to box splines

In this section we point out some connections between the results of the previous sections and the theory of multivariate splines. In the discussion here the (polynomial) box spline M_X associated with a set of directions X plays a central role. For our purposes, it is sufficient to note that M_X is a piecewise-polynomial function supported on

$$\Omega_X := \{Xt : \ t \in [0,1]^{\#X}\}$$

and satisfies

$$\Pi(M_X) = \mathcal{H}(X),$$

where, for a general compactly supported ϕ, the notation $\Pi(\phi)$ stands for the space of polynomials spanned by the integer translates of ϕ.

We make use of the following result, which is a special case of [R; Thm.1.1]:

(5.1) Result. *Let P be a translation-invariant space of polynomials, and Ω a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^s$ with boundary $\partial\Omega$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*
 (a) There exists a function ϕ supported in Ω and satisfying $\Pi(\phi) = P$, $\widehat{\phi}(0) \neq 0$.
 (b) For every $z \in \mathbb{R}^s \setminus \cup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^s} \alpha + \partial\Omega$, the set

$$\nu_{\Omega} := \nu_{\Omega}(z) := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^s : \ z - \alpha \in \Omega\}$$

 is total for P, i.e., no element of $P \setminus 0$ vanishes on this set.

Note that when we take Ω to be $\Omega_X = \operatorname{supp} M_X$, the sets ν_{Ω} are identical with the sets ν_X from (3.10). Thus, by appealing to (3.12)Theorem, we deduce from the implication (b) $\Longrightarrow$ (a) of (5.1)Result the following

(5.2) Corollary. *Let X be a unimodular set of vectors. Then there exists a function (actually many) $\psi = \psi_X$ which is supported in Ω_X and satisfies*

$$\Pi(\psi) = \mathcal{P}(X).$$

The above corollary provides no information about the *smoothness* of the compactly supported ψ. Yet, it is known [BH$_2$] that, at least for the special case of the three-direction mesh with equal multiplicities, no piecewise-$\mathcal{P}(X)$ function supported on Ω_X can match the smoothness of the corresponding box spline M_X.

The box spline M_X is a smooth function supported on Ω_X. Hence, one may hope that there exist functions ϕ supported on Ω_X which are less smooth than M_X, yet their corresponding $\Pi(\phi)$ is "better" in the sense that it contains some of the polynomials of lower degrees which were missing in $\Pi(M_X) = \mathcal{H}(X)$. [R; Cor.4.2] gives a partial negative answer to that hope by showing that for a unimodular X and a function ϕ supported in Ω_X, if $\widehat{\phi}(0) \neq 0$ and $\Pi_j \subset \Pi(\phi)$ for some j, then $\Pi_j \subset \mathcal{H}(X) = \Pi(M_X)$. The following result improves that corollary.

(5.3) Corollary. *Let X be an unimodular set of directions, M_X the corresponding box spline. Let ϕ be a compactly supported function satisfying*

$$\operatorname{supp} \phi \subset \operatorname{supp} M_X,$$

and

$$\widehat{\phi}(0) \neq 0.$$

Then, for each j,

$$\dim(\Pi_j \cap \Pi(\phi)) \leq \dim(\Pi_j \cap \Pi(M_X)).$$

Proof: Let ν be one of the sets $\nu_X(z)$ associated with X. By (3.12)Theorem, $(\exp_\nu)_\downarrow = \mathcal{P}(X)$. Now we may apply (5.1)Result to conclude that ν is total for $\Pi(\phi)$, and hence $\Pi(\phi)$ can be extended to a space Q for which ν is correct. Since $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is the least space of $\exp_\nu$, it satisfies the least degree property (3.4), thus we conclude that for every j

(5.4) $$\dim(\Pi_j \cap \Pi(\phi)) \leq \dim(\Pi_j \cap Q) \leq \dim(\Pi_j \cap \mathcal{P}(X)).$$

On the other hand, by (4.4) and (4.6),

$$\dim(\Pi_j \cap \mathcal{P}(X)) = \dim(\Pi_j \cap \mathcal{H}(X)), \ \forall j,$$

and (5.3) now follows from the fact that $\Pi(M_X) = \mathcal{H}(X)$. $\square$

We note that the result is no longer valid if we drop the unimodularity assumption (cf. [R; Ex. 4.1]).

6. A remark on (2.11)Lemma

A careful examination of the proof of (2.11)Lemma shows that the details of the connection between the ideal I^X and its kernel $I^X\perp$ enter into the argument in only a minor way. The only facts used are: (i) the map $\Pi \to L(\Pi) : p \mapsto p(D)$ is a ring homomorphism; and (ii) for any basis B in X, $\dim I^B\perp = 1$.

This suggests the following result.

(6.1) Proposition. *Let $M : \Pi \to L(V)$ be a ring-homomorphism into the ring of linear maps on the linear space V. For a given multiset X of directions, define*

$$I_M^X\perp := \cap_{p \in I^X} \ker M(p).$$

Then

$$\dim I_M^X\perp \leq \sum_{B \in \mathbb{B}(X)} \dim I_M^B\perp.$$

The proof of the proposition follows entirely that of (2.11)Lemma, with the obvious modifications whenever the induction hypothesis is applied.

It would be nice to identify other settings rather than the one utilized in this paper, where the above proposition is of use.

References

[BH1] C. de Boor and K. Höllig, B-splines from parallelepipeds, J. d'Anal. Math. **42**(1982/3), 99–115.

[BH2] C. de Boor and K. Höllig, Bivariate box splines and smooth pp functions on a three-direction mesh, J. Comput. Applied Math. **9** (1983), 13–28.

[BR1] C. de Boor and A. Ron, On multivariate polynomial interpolation, Constr. Approx. **6**(1990), 287–302.

[BR2] C. de Boor and A. Ron, On polynomial ideals of finite codimension with application to box spline theory, J. Math. Anal. Applic. **158**(1991), 168–193.

[DM1] W. Dahmen and C.A. Micchelli, Translates of multivariate splines. Linear Algebra and Appl. **52/3** (1983), 217–234.

[DM2] W. Dahmen and C.A. Micchelli, On the local linear independence of translates of a box spline, Stud. Math. **82** (1985), 243–263.

[DM3] W. Dahmen and C. A. Micchelli, Multivariate E-splines, Advances in Math. **76**(1989), 33–93.

[DR1] N. Dyn and A. Ron, Local Approximation by certain spaces of multivariate exponential-polynomials, approximation order of exponential box splines and related interpolation problems, Trans. AMS **319**(1990), 381–403.

[DR2] N. Dyn and A. Ron, On multivariate polynomial interpolation, in Algorithms for Approximation, J.C. Mason, M.G. Cox (eds.), Capman and Hall, London 1989, 177–184.

[G] J. A. Gregory, Interpolation to boundary data on the simplex, CAGD 2(1985), 43–52.

[J] R. Q. Jia, A dual basis for the integer translates of an exponential box spline, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **23**(1993), 223–242.

[R] A. Ron, Relations between the support of a compactly supported function and the exponential-polynomials spanned by its integer translates, Constructive Approx. **6**(1990), 139–155.